# Al Act Consolidated text

#### TL;DR

## The Al Act classifies Al according to its risk:

- Unacceptable risk is prohibited (e.g. social scoring systems and manipulative AI).
- Most of the text addresses high-risk Al systems, which are regulated.
- A smaller section handles limited risk AI systems, subject to lighter transparency obligations: developers
  and deployers must ensure that end-users are aware that they are interacting with AI (chatbots and
  deepfakes).
- Minimal risk is unregulated (including the majority of AI applications currently available on the EU single market, such as AI enabled video games and spam filters – at least in 2021; this is changing with generative AI).

# The majority of obligations fall on providers (developers) of high-risk AI systems.

- Those that intend to place on the market or put into service high-risk AI systems in the EU, regardless of whether they are based in the EU or a third country.
- And also third country providers where the high risk AI system's output is used in the EU.

## Users are natural or legal persons that deploy an Al system in a professional capacity, not affected end-users.

- Users (deployers) of high-risk AI systems have some obligations, though less than providers (developers).
- This applies to users located in the EU, and third country users where the AI system's output is used in the EU.

#### General purpose AI (GPAI):

- All GPAI model providers must provide technical documentation, instructions for use, comply with the Copyright Directive, and publish a summary about the content used for training.
- Free and open licence GPAI model providers only need to comply with copyright and publish the training data summary, unless they present a systemic risk.
- All providers of GPAI models that present a systemic risk open or closed must also conduct model evaluations, adversarial testing, track and report serious incidents and ensure cybersecurity protections.

# Prohibited Al systems (Title II, Art. 5)

#### Al systems:

- deploying subliminal, manipulative, or deceptive techniques to distort behaviour and impair informed decision-making, causing significant harm.
- **exploiting vulnerabilities** related to age, disability, or socio-economic circumstances to distort behaviour, causing significant harm.
- biometric categorisation systems inferring sensitive attributes (race, political opinions, trade union membership, religious or philosophical beliefs, sex life, or sexual orientation), except labelling or filtering of lawfully acquired biometric datasets or when law enforcement categorises biometric data.
- **social scoring**, i.e., evaluating or classifying individuals or groups based on social behaviour or personal traits, causing detrimental or unfavourable treatment of those people.
- assessing the risk of an individual committing criminal offenses solely based on profiling or personality
  traits, except when used to augment human assessments based on objective, verifiable facts directly linked
  to criminal activity.
- compiling facial recognition databases by untargeted scraping of facial images from the internet or CCTV footage.
- inferring emotions in workplaces or educational institutions, except for medical or safety reasons.
- 'real-time' remote biometric identification (RBI) in publicly accessible spaces for law enforcement, except when:
  - searching for missing persons, abduction victims, and people who have been human trafficked or sexually exploited;
  - preventing substantial and imminent threat to life, or foreseeable terrorist attack; or
  - identifying suspects in serious crimes (e.g., murder, rape, armed robbery, narcotic and illegal weapons trafficking, organised crime, and environmental crime, etc.).
  - Using Al-enabled real-time RBI is only allowed when not using the tool would cause considerable harm and must account for affected persons' rights and freedoms.
  - Before deployment, police must complete a fundamental rights impact assessment and register the system in the EU database, though, in duly justified cases of urgency, deployment can commence without registration, provided that it is registered later without undue delay.
  - Before deployment, they also must obtain authorisation from a judicial authority or independent administrative authority<sup>1</sup>, though, in duly justified cases of urgency, deployment can commence without authorisation, provided that authorisation is requested within 24 hours. If authorisation is rejected, deployment must cease immediately, deleting all data, results, and outputs.

## **High risk AI systems (Title III)**

## Classification rules for high-risk Al systems (Art. 6)

High risk AI systems are those:

 used as a safety component or a product covered by EU laws in Annex II AND required to undergo a thirdparty conformity assessment under those Annex II laws; OR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Independent administrative authorities may be subject to greater political influence than judicial authorities (<u>Hacker, 2024</u>).

- those under Annex III use cases (below), except if:
  - o the AI system performs a narrow procedural task;
  - o improves the result of a previously completed human activity;
  - detects decision-making patterns or deviations from prior decision-making patterns and is not meant to replace or influence the previously completed human assessment without proper human review; or
  - performs a preparatory task to an assessment relevant for the purpose of the use cases listed in Annex III.
- Al systems are always considered high-risk if it profiles individuals, i.e. automated processing of personal
  data to assess various aspects of a person's life, such as work performance, economic situation, health,
  preferences, interests, reliability, behaviour, location or movement.
- Providers that believe their AI system, which fails under Annex III, is not high-risk, must document such an assessment before placing it on the market or putting it into service.

## Requirements for providers of high-risk AI systems (Art. 8-25)

## High risk Al providers must:

- Establish a risk management system throughout the high risk Al system's lifecycle;
- Conduct data governance, ensuring that training, validation and testing datasets are relevant, sufficiently
  representative and, to the best extent possible, free of errors and complete according to the intended
  purpose.
- Draw up technical documentation to demonstrate compliance and provide authorities with the information to assess that compliance.
- Design their high risk Al system for record-keeping to enable it to automatically record events relevant for identifying national level risks and substantial modifications throughout the system's lifecycle.
- Provide instructions for use to downstream deployers to enable the latter's compliance.
- Design their high risk AI system to allow deployers to implement human oversight.
- Design their high risk AI system to achieve appropriate levels of accuracy, robustness, and cybersecurity.
- Establish a quality management system to ensure compliance.

## Annex III use cases

#### Non-banned biometrics:

- Remote biometric identification systems, excluding biometric verification that confirm a person is who they claim to be.
- Biometric categorisation systems inferring sensitive or protected attributes or characteristics.
- Emotion recognition systems.

# Critical infrastructure:

 Safety components in the management and operation of critical digital infrastructure, road traffic and the supply of water, gas, heating and electricity.

# **Education and vocational training:**

- All systems determining access, admission or assignment to educational and vocational training institutions at all levels.
- Evaluating learning outcomes, including those used to steer the student's learning process.
- Assessing the appropriate level of education for an individual.

Monitoring and detecting prohibited student behaviour during tests.

# Employment, workers management and access to self-employment:

- All systems used for recruitment or selection, particularly targeted job ads, analysing and filtering applications, and evaluating candidates.
- Promotion and termination of contracts, allocating tasks based on personality traits or characteristics and behaviour, and monitoring and evaluating performance.

# Access to and enjoyment of essential public and private services:

- All systems used by public authorities for assessing eligibility to benefits and services, including their allocation, reduction, revocation, or recovery.
- Evaluating creditworthiness, except when detecting financial fraud.
- Evaluating and classifying emergency calls, including dispatch prioritising of police, firefighters, medical aid and urgent patient triage services.
- Risk assessments and pricing in health and life insurance.

#### Law enforcement:

- All systems used to assess an individual's risk of becoming a crime victim.
- Polygraphs.
- Evaluating evidence reliability during criminal investigations or prosecutions.
- Assessing an individual's risk of offending or re-offending not solely based on profiling or assessing personality traits or past criminal behaviour.
- Profiling during criminal detections, investigations or prosecutions.

# Migration, asylum and border control management:

- Polygraphs.
- Assessments of irregular migration or health risks.
- Examination of applications for asylum, visa and residence permits, and associated complaints related to eligibility.
- Detecting, recognising or identifying individuals, except verifying travel documents.

## Administration of justice and democratic processes:

- All systems used in researching and interpreting facts and applying the law to concrete facts or used in alternative dispute resolution.
- Influencing elections and referenda outcomes or voting behaviour, excluding outputs that do not directly interact with people, like tools used to organise, optimise and structure political campaigns.

## **General purpose AI (GPAI)**

**GPAI model** means an AI model, including when trained with a large amount of data using self-supervision at scale, that displays significant generality and is capable to competently perform a wide range of distinct tasks regardless of the way the model is placed on the market and that can be integrated into a variety of downstream systems or applications. This does not cover AI models that are used before release on the market for research, development and prototyping activities.

**GPAI system** means an AI system which is based on a general purpose AI model, that has the capability to serve a variety of purposes, both for direct use as well as for integration in other AI systems.

GPAI systems may be used as high risk AI systems or integrated into them. GPAI system providers should cooperate with such high risk AI system providers to enable the latter's compliance.

#### All providers of GPAI models must:

- Draw up technical documentation, including training and testing process and evaluation results.
- Draw up information and documentation to supply to downstream providers that intend to integrate the GPAI model into their own AI system in order that the latter understands capabilities and limitations and is enabled to comply.
- Establish a policy to respect the Copyright Directive.
- Publish a sufficiently detailed summary about the content used for training the GPAI model.

Free and open licence GPAI models – whose parameters, including weights, model architecture and model usage are publicly available, allowing for access, usage, modification and distribution of the model – only have to comply with the latter two obligations above, unless the free and open licence GPAI model is systemic.

**GPAI** models are considered systemic when the cumulative amount of compute used for its training is greater than 10^25 floating point operations per second (FLOPS). Providers must notify the Commission if their model meets this criterion within 2 weeks. The provider may present arguments that, despite meeting the criteria, their model does not present systemic risks. The Commission may decide on its own, or via a qualified alert from the scientific panel of independent experts, that a model has high impact capabilities, rendering it systemic.

In addition to the four obligations above, providers of GPAI models with systemic risk must also:

- Perform model evaluations, including conducting and documenting adversarial testing to identify and mitigate systemic risk.
- Assess and mitigate possible systemic risks, including their sources.
- Track, document and report serious incidents and possible corrective measures to the Al Office and relevant national competent authorities without undue delay.
- Ensure an adequate level of cybersecurity protection.

All GPAI model providers may demonstrate compliance with their obligations if they voluntarily adhere to a code of practice until European harmonised standards are published, compliance with which will lead to a presumption of conformity. Providers that don't adhere to codes of practice must demonstrate alternative adequate means of compliance for Commission approval.

## **Codes of practice**

- Will account for international approaches.
- Will cover but not necessarily limited to the above obligations, particularly the relevant information to include
  in technical documentation for authorities and downstream providers, identification of the type and nature
  of systemic risks and their sources, and the modalities of risk management accounting for specific
  challenges in addressing risks due to the way they may emerge and materialise throughout the value chain.
- Al Office may invite GPAI model providers, relevant national competent authorities to participate in drawing
  up the codes, while civil society, industry, academia, downstream providers and independent experts may
  support the process.

#### Governance

- The AI Office will be established, sitting within the Commission, to monitor the effective implementation and compliance of GPAI model providers.
- Downstream providers can lodge a complaint regarding the upstream providers infringement to the AI Office.
- The Al Office may conduct evaluations of the GPAI model to:
  - assess compliance where the information gathered under its powers to request information is insufficient.
  - o Investigate systemic risks, particularly following a qualified report from the scientific panel of independent experts.

## **Timelines**

- After entry into force, the Al Act will apply:
  - o 6 months for prohibited AI systems.
  - o 12 months for GPAI.
  - o 24 months for high risk AI systems under Annex III.
  - 36 months for high risk AI systems under Annex II.
- Codes of practice must be ready 9 months after entry into force.